APPENDIX 5-A. DRAFT ENGINEERING ANALYSIS DATA REQUEST SHEETS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

5-A.1	ENGINEERING ANALYSIS DATA REQUEST SHEETS FOR	
	RESIDENTIAL CLOTHES DRYERS	2
5-A.2	ENGINEERING ANALYSIS DATA REQUEST SHEETS FOR ROOM AIR	
	CONDITIONERS	6
5-A.3	DATA REQUESTS FOR STANDARDS RULEMAKING FOR	
	RESIDENTIAL CLOTHES DRYERS AND ROOM AIR-CONDITIONERS	12

DOE seeks average <u>incremental production cost</u> to take basic models in the categories shown from the current DOE minimum efficiency level (or proposed baseline level) to the specified efficiency level. For those product classes where more than one basic model may exist, please indicate the minimum and maximum incremental costs that would be incurred across the array of basic models.

The data sheets are divided by product and contain tables requesting shipment and manufacturer cost data.

Shipments

For residential clothes dryers and room air conditioners, the AHAM <u>2005 Fact Book</u> offers historical shipments data (for both products) and efficiency data (for room air conditioners only), but the information is not disaggregated by product class. As shown in the "shipment request" tables below, DOE hopes to collect both shipments data and shipment-weighted average efficiency data dating back to 1993. In addition, DOE hopes to collect market share efficiency (*i.e.*, data on the distribution of product shipments by efficiency) for each of the product classes.

Manufacturer Costs

Incremental cost data (in U.S. dollars) include the materials, labor, and overhead needed to take basic models from the current minimum DOE baseline efficiency standard to each higher efficiency level. The depreciation of the conversion capital expenditures is an important component of the overhead for DOE to understand. Therefore, DOE is requesting information about conversion capital expenditures by efficiency level.

5-A.1 ENGINEERING ANALYSIS DATA REQUEST SHEETS FOR RESIDENTIAL CLOTHES DRYERS

Table A1-1 Residential Clothes Dryer Shipment and Shipment-Weighted Average Efficiency Data

		Shipn	nents, Domo (Thousands	estic + Im of Units)	ports		Shipment	-Weighted (E	Average E F)	Afficiency
		Ven	ted		Vent	:-less		Ven	ited	
		Electric			Elec	etric		Electric		
Year	Standard		Compact 240v	Gas	Compact 240v	Combo	Standard		Compact 240v	Gas
1993										
1994										
1995										
1996										
1997										
1998										
1999										
2000										
2001										
2002										
2003										
2004										
2006										

Table A1-2 Residential Clothes Dryer Market Share Efficiency Data: Vented Electric Standard and Compact 120v

Ven	ted Electric Standard	Vented	Market Share for 2005 or 2006* (Percent)			
Efficiency Bins	Market Share for 2005 or 2006*	Efficiency Bins	Market Share for 2005 or 2006*			
(EF)	(Percent)	(EF)	(Percent)			
3.01-3.09		3.13-3.19				
3.10-3.19		3.20-3.29				
3.20-3.29		3.30-3.39				
> 3.29		> 3.39	_			

^{*} Total market share percentages should equal 100%.

Table A1-3 Residential Clothes Dryer Market Share Efficiency Data: Vented Electric Compact 240v and Gas

Compact 270 v a	inu Gas		
Vented	Electric Compact 240v		Vented Gas
Efficiency Bins	Market Share for 2005 or 2006*	Efficiency Bins	Market Share for 2005 or 2006*
(EF)	(Percent)	(EF)	(Percent)
2.90-2.96		2.67-2.74	
2.97-3.06		2.75-2.84	
3.07-3.17		2.85-2.94	
> 3.17		> 2.94	

^{*} Total market share percentages should equal 100%.

Table A1-4 Residential Clothes Dryer Manufacturer Cost Data: Vented Electric Standard and Electric Compact 120V

Efficiency Level 1 2 3 1 2 3 EF (lb/KWh) 3.10 3.16 3.39 ++ ++ ++ 3.3 Design Options+ *** Average Incremental Costs (S Per Unit)** *** Average Incremental Costs (S Per Unit)** Material *** Minimum Incremental Costs (S Per Unit)** Material *** Material *** Maximum Incremental Costs (S Per Unit)** Labor ** Open Incremental Costs (S Per Unit)** Building CAPX ** Conversion Capital Expenditures (S, Million)	ass >	Vented Electric Standard	Zutut , thick Elec		Electric Compact 12	
EF (lb/KWh) 3.10 3.16 3.39 ++			3	1	-	3
Design Options+ Design Opt				++		3.79
Material		5.10	5.57			
Material Material Image: Control of the part	0113		Assessed Income and all Com	45 (6 Day Unit) *		
Labor		A	Average incremental Cos	its (5 Per Unit)"		
Minimum Incremental Costs (\$ Per Unit)* Material						
Material Labor Coverhead# Maximum Incremental Costs (\$ Per Unit)* Material Maximum Incremental Costs (\$ Per Unit)* Labor Maximum Incremental Costs (\$ Per Unit)* Coverhead# Conversion Capital Expenditures (\$, Millions) Building CAPX Conversion Capital Expenditures (\$, Millions) Tooling/ Equipment CAPX Conversion Capital Expenditures (\$ Millions)						
Material Labor Coverhead# Maximum Incremental Costs (\$ Per Unit)* Material Maximum Incremental Costs (\$ Per Unit)* Labor Maximum Incremental Costs (\$ Per Unit)* Coverhead# Conversion Capital Expenditures (\$, Millions) Building CAPX Conversion Capital Expenditures (\$, Millions) Tooling/ Equipment CAPX Conversion Capital Expenditures (\$ Millions)		M	Iinimum Incremental Cos	sts (\$ Per Unit)*		
Overhead# Material Labor Overhead# Coverhead# Material Labor Overhead# Coverhead# Coverhead# Coverhead# Coversion Capital Expenditures (\$, Millions) Tooling/ Equipment CAPX Tooling/ Equipment CAPX CAPX						
Material Costs (\$ Per Unit)* Material Costs (\$ Per Unit)* Labor Coverhead# Conversion Capital Expenditures (\$, Millions) Tooling/ Equipment CAPX CAPX Maximum Incremental Costs (\$ Per Unit)* Conversion Capital Expenditures (\$ Per Unit)* Conversion Capit						
Material Labor Overhead# Conversion Capital Expenditures (\$, Millions) Tooling/ Equipment CAPX Tool Tool Tool Tool Tool Tool Tool Too						
Labor Overhead# Conversion Capital Expenditures (\$, Millions) Building CAPX Tooling/ Equipment CAPX Tooly Equipment CAPX Tooling/ Equipment CAPX Tooling/ Equipment CAPX Tooling/ Equipment CAPX		M	Iaximum Incremental Co	osts (\$ Per Unit)*		
Overhead# Conversion Capital Expenditures (\$, Millions) Building CAPX Tooling/ Equipment CAPX CAPX						
Building CAPX Tooling/ Equipment CAPX CAPX CAPX Conversion Capital Expenditures (\$, Millions) Conversion Capital Expenditures (\$, Millions) Capital Expenditures (\$, Millions) Capital Expenditures (\$, Millions)						
Building CAPX Tooling/ Equipment CAPX						
Tooling/ Equipment CAPX		Con	nversion Capital Expendi	itures (\$, Millions)		
CAPX	.PX					
	uipment					
One-Time Product Conversion Expenses (\$, Millions)		One-Ti	ime Product Conversion 1	Expenses (\$, Millions)		
R&D						
Marketing						

⁺Manufacturer respondents should suggest the design option or design option combinations that they believe should be associated with each efficiency level.

⁺⁺ DOE was unable to obtain data for any clothes dryers with efficiencies between the standard level of EF = 3.13 and the max available level of EF = 3.79. Therefore, manufacturer respondents should suggest representative intermediate efficiency levels.

[#] Depreciation on the conversion capital expenditure should NOT be included in the incremental overhead.

^{*} Incremental costs per unit should be reported relative to the baseline unit's cost. The baseline unit complies with the current Federal standard for residential clothes dryers.

Table A1-5 Residential Clothes Dryer Manufacturer Cost Data: Vented Electric Compact 240V and Gas

Product Class →	Vente	ed Electric Compact 2	240 V		Vented Gas	
Efficiency Level	1	2	3	1	2	3
EF (lb/KWh)	2.98	3.07	3.23	2.75	2.77	3.02
Design Options+						
			Average Incrementa	al Costs (\$ Per Unit)*		<u> </u>
Material						
Labor						
Overhead#						
			Minimum Increment	tal Costs (\$ Per Unit)*		
Material						
Labor						
Overhead#						
			Maximum Increment	tal Costs (\$ Per Unit)*		
Material						
Labor						
Overhead#						
			Conversion Capital Ex	penditures (\$, Millions)		
Building CAPX						
Tooling/ Equipment CAPX						
		O	ne-Time Product Conver	sion Expenses (\$, Million	s)	
R&D					_	
Marketing						

⁺Manufacturer respondents should suggest the design option or design option combinations that they believe should be associated with each efficiency level.

Other Information:

1. What depreciation method would your company use to depreciate the conversion capital expenditures?

Direct material – Costs of raw materials including scrap that can be traced to final or end products. Direct material costs do not include indirect material costs which are attributed to supplies that may be used in the production process but are not assigned to final products (*e.g.*, lubricating oil for production machinery).

Direct labor – The earnings of workers who assemble parts into a finished good for operate machines in the production process. Direct labor includes the fringe benefits of direct laborers such as group health care, as well as overtime pay. Direct labor does not include indirect labor which is defined as the earnings of employees who do not work directly in assembling a product, such as supervisors, janitors, stockroom personnel, inspectors, and forklift operators.

Overhead – Factory overhead excluding depreciation. Factory overhead includes indirect labor, downtime, set-up costs, indirect material, expendable tools, maintenance, property taxes, insurance on assets, and utility costs. Factory overhead does not include selling, general, and administrative costs (SG&A); research and development (R&D); interest; or profit (accounted for by DOE separately).

[#] Depreciation on the conversion capital expenditure should NOT be included in the incremental overhead.

^{*} Incremental costs per unit should be reported relative to the baseline unit's cost. The baseline unit complies with the current federal standard for residential clothes dryers.

Full Production Cost = Direct Material + Direct Labor + Overhead (factory) + Depreciation
Full Cost of Product = Full Production Cost + Non-production Costs (SG&A, R&D, interest, and profit)

5-A.2 ENGINEERING ANALYSIS DATA REQUEST SHEETS FOR ROOM AIR CONDITIONERS

Table A2-1 Room Air Conditioner Market Share Product Class Data (percent)*

	Without Reverse Cycle (RC) and With Louvered Sides (LS)						cle (RC) a Sides (LS			RC and h LS	With RC and Without LS		Case	ement		
Year	<6k	6-8k	8-14k	14-20k	>20k	<6k	6-8k	8-14k	14-20k	>20k	<20k	>20k	<14k	>14k	Only	Slider
2000																
2001																
2002																
2003																
2004																
2005																
2006																

^{*} Total market share percentages for each year should equal 100%.

Table A2-2 Room Air Conditioner Shipment-Weighted Efficiency Data (EER)

	uste 12 2 Room 1 in Conditioner Simplified Viciginea Efficiency Such (EEE)															
	W	Without Reverse Cycle (RC) and Without Revers							ycle (RC) and		With RC and		With RC and			
		With Lo	ouvered S	Sides (LS)		•	Without 1	Louvered	Sides (LS	5)	Witl	h LS	Witho	out LS	Case	ment
Year	<6k	6-8k	8-14k	14-20k	>20k	<6k	6-8k	8-14k	14-20k	>20k	<20k	>20k	<14k	>14k	Only	Slider
2000																
2001																
2002																
2003																
2004																
2005																
2006																

Table A2-3 Room Air Conditioner Market Share Efficiency Data: Without Reverse Cycle and With Louvered Sides

1 4010 112 0	and the bittom this conditioned frame Emercincy Datas (thought to colde cycle and their Education								
Less tha	Less than 6,000 Btu/h		6,000 to 7,999 Btu/h		8,000 to 13,999 Btu/h		14,000 to 19,999 Btu/h		Btu/h and more
Efficiency Bins	Market Share for 2006 or 2007*	Efficiency Bins	Market Share for 2006 or 2007*	Efficiency Bins	Market Share for 2006 or 2007*	Efficiency Bins	Market Share for 2006 or 2007*	Efficiency Bins	Market Share for 2006 or 2007*
(EER)	(percent)	(EER)	(percent)	(EER)	(percent)	(EER)	(percent)	(EER)	(percent)
9.7-10.0		9.7-10.0		9.8-10.0		9.7-10.0		8.5-8.9	
10.1-10.5		10.1-10.5		10.1-10.5		10.1-10.5		9.0-9.4	
10.6-11.0		10.6-11.0		10.6-11.0		10.6-11.0		9.5-9.9	
> 11.0		> 11.0		> 11.0		> 11.0		> 9.9	

^{*} Total market share percentage should equal 100%.

Table A2-4 Room Air Conditioner Market Share Efficiency Data: Without Reverse Cycle and Without Louvered Sides

Less tha	an 6,000 Btu/h	6,000 t	o 7,999 Btu/h	8,000 to	13,999 Btu/h	14,000 t	o 19,999 Btu/h	20,000 B	tu/h and more
Efficiency Bins	Market Share for 2006 or 2007*	Efficiency Bins	Market Share for 2006 or 2007*	Efficiency Bins	Market Share for 2006 or 2007*	Efficiency Bins	Market Share for 2006 or 2007*	Efficiency Bins	Market Share for 2006 or 2007*
(EER)	(percent)								
9.0-9.4		9.0-9.4		8.5-8.9		8.5-8.9		8.5-8.9	
9.5-9.9		9.5-9.9		9.0-9.4		9.0-9.4		9.0-9.4	
10.0-10.4		10.0-10.4		9.5-9.9		9.5-9.9		9.5-9.9	
> 10.4		> 10.4		10.0-10.4		> 9.9		10.0-10.4	
			_	>10.4				>10.4	

^{*} Total market share percentage should equal 100%.

Table A2-5 Room Air Conditioner Market Share Efficiency Data: With Reverse Cycle

Table A2-3	Room An Conditio	iici iviai ket	Share Efficiency Da	ta. With IXC	verse Cycle			
	With Louvered Sides, Less than 20,000 Btu/h		Louvered Sides, O Btu/h and more		ıt Louvered Sides, han 14,000 Btu/h	Without Louvered Sides, 14,000 Btu/h and more		
Efficiency Bins	Market Share for 2006 or 2007*	Efficiency Bins	Market Share for 2006 or 2007*	Efficiency Bins	Market Share for 2006 or 2007*	Efficiency Bins	Market Share for 2006 or 2007*	
(EER)	(percent)	(EER)	(percent)	(EER)	(percent)	(EER)	(percent)	
9.0-9.4		8.5-8.9		8.5-8.9		8.0-8.4		
9.5-9.9		9.0-9.4		9.0-9.4		8.5-8.9		
10.0-10.4		9.5-9.9		9.5-9.9		9.0-9.4		
10.5-10.9		> 9.9		> 9.9		9.5-9.9		
> 10.9						>9.9		

^{*} Total market share percentage should equal 100%.

Table A2-6 Room Air Conditioner Market Share Efficiency Data: Casement Units

	Casement-Only		Casement-Slider
Efficiency Bins (EER)	Market Share for 2006 or 2007* (percent)	Efficiency Bins (EER)	Market Share for 2006 or 2007* (percent)
8.7-9.0	(F	9.5-9.9	(1
9.1-9.5		10.0-10.4	
9.6-10.0		10.5-10.9	
> 10.0		> 10.9	

^{*} Total market share percentage should equal 100%.

Table A2-7 Room Air Conditioner Manufacturer Cost Data

		mannonci	IVIAIIAIA	cturer c	ost Data				
Product Class →	Without Reverse Cycle and with Louvered Sides, less than 6,000 Btu/h				Without Reverse Cycle and With Louvered Sides, 8000 - 13,999 Btu/h				
Efficiency Level	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
EER	10.2	10.7	11.2	11.6	10.3	10.8	11.3	11.8	
		Α	verage Incr	emental Cos	ts (\$ Per Ur	nit)*			
Material									
Labor									
Overhead#									
		M	inimum Inc	remental Co	sts (\$ Per U	nit)*			
Material									
Labor									
Overhead#									
		M	aximum Inc	remental Co	sts (\$ Per U	nit)*			
Material									
Labor									
Overhead#									
		Con	version Cap	ital Expendi	tures (\$, M	illions)			
Building CAPX									
Tooling/ Equipment CAPX									
	_	One-Ti	ne Product	Conversion 1	Expenses (\$, Millions)			
R&D									
Marketing									

[#] Depreciation on the conversion capital expenditure should NOT be included in the incremental overhead.

^{*} Incremental costs per unit should be reported relative to the baseline unit's cost. The baseline unit complies with the federal standard for room air conditioners and is equal to an EER of 9.7 Btu/h/W for a unit without reverse cycle and with louvered sides and with a capacity of less than 6,000 Btu/h, an EER of 9.8 Btu/h/W for a unit without reverse cycle and with louvered sides and with a capacity of 8,000 to 13,999 Btu/h, and an EER of 8.5 Btu/h/W for a unit without reverse cycle and with louvered sides and with a capacity of 20,000 Btu/h and more.

Table A2-8 Room Air Conditioner Manufacturer Cost Data, continued

Product Class →	Without Reverse Cycle and with Louvered Sides, 20,000 Btu/h and more			Without Reverse Cycle and Without Louvered Sides, 8000 - 13,999 Btu/h					
Efficiency Level	1	2	3		1	2	3	4	
EER	9.0	9.5	10.0		9.0	9.5	10.0	10.5	
		Α	verage Incr	emental Cos	ts (\$ Per Un	it)*			
Material									
Labor									
Overhead#									
		M	inimum Inc	remental Cos	sts (\$ Per U	nit)*			
Material									
Labor									
Overhead#									
		M	aximum Inc	remental Co	sts (\$ Per U	nit)*			
Material									
Labor									
Overhead#									
		Con	version Cap	ital Expendi	tures (\$, Mi	llions)			
Building CAPX									
Tooling/ Equipment CAPX									
		One-Ti	ne Product	Conversion l	Expenses (\$,	Millions)			
R&D									
Marketing									

Other Information:

1. What depreciation method would your company use to depreciate the conversion capital expenditures?

Direct material – Costs of raw materials including scrap that can be traced to final or end products. Direct material costs do not include indirect material costs which are attributed to supplies that may be used in the production process but are not assigned to final products (e.g., lubricating oil for production machinery).

Direct labor – The earnings of workers who assemble parts into a finished good for operate machines in the production process. Direct labor includes the fringe benefits of direct laborers such as group health care, as well as overtime pay. Direct labor does not include indirect labor which is defined as the earnings of employees who do not work directly in assembling a product, such as supervisors, janitors, stockroom personnel, inspectors, and forklift operators.

Overhead – Factory overhead excluding depreciation. Factory overhead includes indirect labor, downtime, set-up costs, indirect material, expendable tools, maintenance, property taxes, insurance on assets, and utility costs. Factory overhead does not include selling, general, and administrative costs (SG&A); research and development (R&D); interest; or profit (accounted for by DOE separately).

Full Production Cost = Direct Material + Direct Labor + Overhead (factory) + Depreciation

Full Cost of Product = Full Production Cost + Non-production Costs (SG&A, R&D, interest, and profit)

Table A2-9: Portable Air-Conditioner Shipment Data

Year	Total Sales	Percent of Sales by Different Condenser Air Duct Configurations Single Duct Two-Duct Other			Percent of Sales with Condensate Re- evaporation Capability		
2000					ı v		
2001							
2002							
2003							
2004							
2005							
2006							
2007							

Table A2-10: Portable Air-Conditioner Capacity Data

Capacity Range (Btu/hr)	Percent of Sales for a Recent Year (2006 or 2007)
	1 car (2000 or 2007)
Less than 6,000	
6,000 to 7,999	
8,000 to 13,999	
14,000 to 19,999	
20,000 or more	

5-A.3 DATA REQUESTS FOR STANDARDS RULEMAKING FOR RESIDENTIAL CLOTHES DRYERS AND ROOM AIR-CONDITIONERS

Data Requests for Standards Rulemaking for Residential Clothes Dryers and Room Air-Conditioners – 01/09/2009

Clothes Dryers

- 1. Shipment-weighted average remaining moisture content (RMC) over time for residential clothes washers for top-loading units, front-loading units, and combined.
- 2. Data regarding consumer usage patterns for residential clothes dryers- the # of annual use cycles. Preferably the number of dryer use cycles independent of wash cycles. If not, then the % of loads washed that are machine dried.
- 3. Has AHAM made any progress on the development of a clothes dryer test procedure which tests dryer operation using automatic termination?
- 4. Is AHAM developing test methodology for condensing dryers?

Room Air Conditioners

1. Data regarding consumer usage patterns – room air-conditioner annual hours of operation.